

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,667	03/29/2004	Derrick Douglas Little	9D-RG-20087 CIP	2435	
7.	590 04/25/2006		EXAM	INER	
John S. Beulie			SUERETH, SARA	ARAH ELIZABETH	
Armstrong Teasdale LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102				THERMODER	
St. Louis, MO	03102		3749		
			DATE MAILED: MOSOROS		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			∂
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/811,667	LITTLE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sarah Suereth	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 I	<u>March 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows			is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or election requirement		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ ob	jected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• , ,		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in A	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/04.		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-11, 14-26, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taplan et al 5653219.

Taplan discloses: a gas burner (3), a cooktop (2) configured to cover a burner box (5) and having at least one peripheral vent cut (8) configured to vent outside air into the burner box to provide air for the gas burner (10 is the air supply, see Figure 1); and a vent trim (15) attached to said cooktop and covering the vent cut (Figure 6a), said vent trim having a surface with openings above a top surface of the cooktop (col. 2, lines 41, 42), wherein said openings are configured to allow outside air to enter the vent cut through the vent trim (col. 2, line 49).

Regarding the limitations "peripheral" and "front side", Taplan discloses that the air vent can be located in various places, including on the edge of the front surface (Figure 1).

Regarding claims 10 and 25, the vent cover also has a raised surface isolating the vent opening from spills on the cooktop (col. 1, lines 49-51).

Regarding claims 3, 8, 14, 18, 23 and 29, the vent trim is sealed in water-tight engagement (col. 1, lines 48).

Art Unit: 3749

Regarding claim 5, 9, 20, and 24, the portions of element 15 in Figure 6a that are horizontally oriented are read as the claimed clip(s).

Regarding claims 11 and 26, the cover fills the entire gap along a surface of the cooktop (col. 2, lines 14-16), so it must necessarily extend along the entire side.

Regarding claims 2,6,7, 17, 21 and 22, the vent extends along an entire side, either the front side (Figure 1) or rear side (Figure 2), so the vent cut necessarily includes two corners of the cooktop.

Regarding claims 4, 15, 19 and 30, the seal is adhesive tape (col. 2, line 64).

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 10, 12, 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taplan et al 6807962.

Taplan discloses: a gas burner (12), a cooktop (1) configured to cover a burner box (Figure 1D, element 91) and having at least one peripheral vent cut (Figure 5, element 7) configured to vent outside air into the burner box to provide air for the gas burner (col. 8, line 33); and a vent trim (Figure 11, element 24) attached to said cooktop and covering the vent cut (col. 9, lines 50-53), said vent trim having a surface with openings above a top surface of the cooktop (Figure 11, also see "lattice" in col. 8, line

Application/Control Number: 10/811,667 Page 4

Art Unit: 3749

33), wherein said openings are configured to allow outside air to enter the vent cut through the vent trim (col. 10, line 42).

- 5. Regarding claims 10 and 25, Figure 11 clearly shows that the vent cover (24) is raised above the top surface of the cooktop (1), and therefore is capable of isolating spills from the vent cover.
- 6. Regarding claims 12 and 27, the vent cut is along an edge of said cooktop exclusive of a corner of said cooktop (Figure 5).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claims 13 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taplan '962.
- 9. As discussed above in the 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejection, Taplan '962 shows one vent cut located at an edge of the cooktop, not a plurality of vent cuts.
- 10. The courts have held that duplication of parts for amplified effect does not distinguish over the prior art (In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960), also MPEP 2144.04 B).

7

Application/Control Number: 10/811,667 Page 5

Art Unit: 3749

11. In the instant case, the amplified effect would be a more effective ventilation system.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record on the attached form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah Suereth whose telephone number is (571) 272-9061. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 7:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on (571) 272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sarah Suereth Examiner

Art Unit 3749

EHUD GARTENBERG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Edeed Gardenbeg